

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	KI (CI)
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	):
AIRS ID#: 1150106 DA	ATE: <u>06/04/2008</u>	ARRIVE: ~7:50 am	DEPART: <u>~10:00 am</u>
FACILITY NAME: TA	ARMAC - VENICE READY	-MIX CONCRETE (RMC) PLANT	Γ
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 515 Gene Green Rd		
	NORTH VENICE	34275-3604	
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	ED REPRESENTATIVE:	TERRY LANCASTER PHONE	E: (561)504-6787
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE	Ε:
ENTITLEMENT PERI	IOD: 9/7/2006 / 9/7/201 (effective date) (end date)		
	<u>N COMPLIANCE STATUS</u>		
⊠ IN COMPLIAN	<u></u>	OMPLIANCE SIGNIFICAT	NT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RI (check ☑ appropria	MINOR Non-Co	OMPLIANCE SIGNIFICAN REMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.	
PART II: TESTING/RI (check ☑ appropria  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?  2. Are emissions fro controlled to the 3. During visible em at a rate that is re unless such rate i 4. Are emissions fro to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batch	ECORDKEEPING REQUINTED TO THE CONTROL OF THE CONTRO	g this site visit according to EPA Mechers), and other enclosed storage at ble emissions to 5 percent opacity?-collector exhaust points was the loa lo loading rate, or at least at the minor) operation controlled by the silo diquestions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If ans 5.)	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	ne ⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after t test was completed?	
test was completed.	
•	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check 🗹 appropriate box(es))	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.	e 🗌
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☐ only one box.)  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
H (* 15 ' ) (D 1 (2 20( 220(4)( ) E 4 C)				
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take re	personable presentions to control unconfined			
emissions by:	easonable precautions to control unconfined			
	s which shall include one or more of the fol	lowing.		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  Yes				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-supp				
emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pay	red areas under control of the owner/operato	r to		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to red				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind b	reaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate em	issions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rul	e 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	02 210.500(1)(4)11,1 111.01			
= <u></u>				
Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace		□Yes ⊠ No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form?				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?		□Yes □ No		
	0.5 (0.4 (0.0.0)			
Debbie Telemeco Anders	06/04/2008			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	~2009			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_		
	rr			
<b>COMMENTS:</b> INS 3. Debbie Telemeco Anders observed visible emissions tests for loading ~26.84 tons cement; and ~ 26.22 tons				

**COMMENTS:** INS 3. Debbie Telemeco Anders observed visible emissions tests for loading ~26.84 tons cement; and ~ 26.22 tons flyash.